Form PE Status as of: 2012-04-01

DESCRIPTION OF NATIONAL PHENOTYPIC EVALUATION SYSTEMS

Country (or countries)	United States of America		
Main trait group	Male fertility [sire conception rate (SCR)]		
Breed(s)	AYS (RDC), BSW, GUE, HOL (B&W, R&W), JER, MSH (RDC)		
Trait definition(s) and unit(s) of measurement	Service-sire relative conception rate (%)		
Method of measuring and collecting data	Collected by Dairy Herd Improvement Affiliates		
Time period for data inclusion	Most recent 4 years; latest test date for each herd used as cutoff for recent data		
Age groups (e.g. parities) included	Parities 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 (no heifer breedings)		
Other criteria (data edits) for inclusion of records	All confirmed (failure or success) breedings to cows (no heifers) up to 7 included; service coded as failure if another reproductive event (breeding—AI or NS, heat, or diagnosis of "not pregnant") subsequently reported, subsequent calving date not within 14 days of expected calving date, sold due to reproductive problems, or "do not breed" code or as success if validated with a pregnancy check or resulting calving date; service-sire identification required; no crossbreds; breeding date at least 70 days before cutoff date; calving date at least 100 days before cutoff date; DIM at breeding from 30 to 365; known sire and dam for service sire; cow age of 22 months to 15 years; minimum lactation milk yield of 6,000 pounds (AYR, GUE, JER, MSH), 8,000 pounds (BSW), or 10,000 pounds (HOL); no ET donors or sexed semen breedings; breeding replaced by a subsequent breeding within 10 days; herd-year restriction of 1 reported breeding for ≥50% of cows; herd restrictions of 80% AI use and mean conception rate of 10 to 90%		
Criteria for extension of records (if applicable)	Not applicable		
Sire categories	AI bulls (not inactive) <16 years old		
Environmental effects, pre- adjustments	None		
Method (model) of phenotypic evaluation	ST BLUP RP AM within breed		

Environmental effects ³ in the evaluation model	Breeding HYS-registry status (F), parity (F), service number (F), standardized milk yield (F), cow age (F), short cycle (breeding ≤17 days after last service) (F), service-sire inbreeding (F), expected inbreeding of resulting embryo (F), service-sire age group (R), AI organization-breeding year (R), residual service sire (R), cow EBV (R), cow PE (R), residual (R); published SCR includes the service sire inbreeding, expected future inbreeding of embryos, service sire age, current year AI organization effect, and service sire residual
Adjustment for heterogeneous variance in evaluation model	None
Use of genetic groups and relationships	None
Blending of foreign/Interbull information in evaluation	Not applicable
Phenotypic parameters in the evaluation	Service-sire age group variance, 0.000143; AI organization-breeding year variance, 0.000110; residual service-sire variance, 0.000535; cow genetic variance, 0.005327; cow PE variance, 0.002943; residual variance, 0.196970
System validation	Not applicable
Expression of phenotypic evaluations	SCR, %; SCR = within-breed SCR - breed mean
Definition of reference base Next base change	All evaluated bulls (rolling, every evaluation)
Calculation of reliability	$100 \times [n/(n + 260)]$, where n is number of breedings
Criteria for official publication of evaluations	\geq 200 total breedings, \geq 30 breedings during current 12 months, and breedings in \geq 5 herds (AYR, BSW, GUE); \geq 300 total breedings, \geq 100 breedings during current 12 months, and breedings in \geq 10 herds (HOL); \geq 200 total breedings, \geq 100 breedings during current 12 months, and breedings in \geq 10 herds (JER); \geq 100 total breedings, \geq 10 breedings during current 12 months, and breedings in \geq 5 herds (MSH)
Number of evaluations/ publications per year	3 (April, August, December)
	None

Key reference	on	methodology
applied		

Kuhn, M.T., J.L. Hutchison, and J.S. Clay. 2004. <u>Prediction of service sire fertility</u>. J. Dairy Sci. 87(Suppl. 1):412(abstr. 738).

Kuhn, M.T., and J.L. Hutchison. 2006. Methodology for prediction of bull fertility from field data. J. Dairy Sci.

89(Suppl. 1):15–16(abstr. M26).

Kuhn, M.T., and J.L. Hutchison. 2008. <u>Prediction of dairy bull fertility from field data: Use of multiple services and identification and utilization of factors affecting bull fertility</u>. J.

Dairy Sci. 91:2481-2492.

Kuhn, M.T., J.L. Hutchison, and H.D. Norman. 2008. <u>Modeling nuisance variables for prediction of service sire fertility</u>. J. Dairy Sci. 91:2823–2835.

Key organisation: name, address, phone, fax, e-mail, web site

Animal Improvement Programs Laboratory

Agricultural Research Service, U.S. Dept. of Agriculture

Bldg. 005, Room 306, BARC-West

10300 Baltimore Ave.

Beltsville, Maryland 20705-2350, USA Voice: 301-504-8334; Fax: 301-504-8092

E-mail: aipl.inquiry@ars.usda.gov web site: http://aipl.arsusda.gov