Form GE

DESCRIPTION OF NATIONAL GENETIC EVALUATION SYSTEMS

Country (or countries)	United States of America			
Main trait group	Calving [calving ease (CE) – service sire and daughter, stillbirth (SB) – service sire and daughter]			
Breed(s)	HOL (B&W, R&W), BSW (CE only); all breeds and first- generation crossbred calves evaluated together in a multibreed sire-MGS model			
Trait definition(s) and unit(s) of measurement Method of measuring and collecting data	 CE: Expressed as percentage of births of bull calves that are difficult in primiparous heifers (%DBH), where difficult births are scored as requiring considerable force or being extremely difficult (4 or 5 on a 5-point scale); service-sire CE measures tendency of calves from a particular service sire to be born more or less easily; daughter CE measures ability of a particular cow (daughter) to calve easily SB: Expressed as percentage of births of bulls calves that are stillborn in primiparous heifers (%SB), where stillborn calves are scored as dead at birth or born alive but died within 48 hours of birth (2 or 3 on a 3- point scale); service-sire SB measures tendency of calves from a particular service sire to be stillborn more or less often; daughter SB measures ability of a particular cow (daughter) to produce live calves In recent years, scores reported almost entirely through Dairy Herd Information Affiliates CE: Scored by owner on a scale of 1 to 5, where 1 = no problems encountered or unobserved birth and 5 = extreme difficulty. SB: Scored by owner on a scale of 1 to 3, where 1 = calf born alive and still alive 48 hours after birth, 2 = calf born dead, 			
	and 3 = calf born alive but died within 48 hours after birth; scores of 2 and 3 combined into a single category for evaluation			
Time period for data inclusion	Calvings from 1980 and later			
Age groups (e.g. parities) included	All parities			
Other criteria (data edits) for inclusion of records	No multiple births; sire age of >18 months or <18 years on calving date; MGS age of <18 years on dam birth date; herds with a single calving record reported or with more than 95% of calving records reported as scale 1 (easy) are excluded. Classes 4 and 5 are combined in the evaluation. Missing MGS year of birth is estimated from the daughter's year of birth.			
	CE: Data from herd-years with abnormal score distributions excluded (about 3% of data) based on a goodness-of-fit statistic for multinomial score distribution			
	SB: Herds with <5 reported calf deaths in database excluded			
Criteria for extension of	None			
Criteria for extension of records (if applicable) Sire categories	None All sires (AI and NS) evaluated together			

	News		
Environmental effects, pre- adjustments	None		
Method (model) of genetic evaluation	ST threshold sire-MGS model; CE and SB evaluated separately		
Environmental effects ³ in the genetic evaluation model	Year-season (F), parity-sex (F), sire-MGS birth year group (F), MGS breed (F; CE only) (F), calf heterosis (F), Parity-Sex- YOB (R), HYParity (R)		
Adjustment for heterogeneous variance in evaluation model	None		
Use of genetic groups and relationships	Inverse of relationship matrix calculated using sire, MGS, and sire-MGS birth year effects within breed		
Blending of foreign/Interbull information in evaluation	None		
Genetic parameters in the evaluation	See Appendix CA for h ² estimates		
	 CE: Sire variance, 0.022; MGS variance, 0.022; sire-MGS covariance, 0.011 SB: Sire variance, 0.008; MGS variance, 0.018; sire-MGS covariance, 0.004 		
System validation	Means and SDs for all variables calculated and examined overall as well as for each data submission; means for new bulls, changes for high bulls, largest changes, and key statistics for recent AI bulls checked		
Expression of genetic evaluations	CE: %DBH SB: %SB Values from underlying scales reported to Interbull		
Definition of genetic reference base	 HOL: Direct, bulls born in 2015; maternal, bulls born in 2010 BSW: Direct, bulls born between 2011 and 2016; maternal, bulls born between 2005 and 2010 		
Next base change	April 2025		
Calculation of reliability	Approximated by inverse of diagonal element of coefficient matrix		
Criteria for official publication of evaluations	Bull from AI organization that supports calving trait evaluation		
Number of evaluations/ publications per year	3 (April, August, December)		
Use in total merit index ⁴	Used in Lifetime net merit dollars (NM\$), Cheese Merit dollars (CM\$), Fluid Merit dollars (FM\$) and Grazing Merit dollars (GM\$) with variable relative weighting. Latest merit information is available at: <u>https://aipl.arsusda.gov/</u> reference/nmcalc-2018.htm		
Anticipated changes in the near future	None		

	$\mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{r}} = \mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{r}} + \mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{r}} + \mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{r}} = \mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{r}} + \mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{r}} = \mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{r}} + $		
Key reference on methodology	Van Tassell, C.P., and G.R. Wiggans. 2002. Enhancing quality		
applied	of dystocia data by integration into a national dairy cattle		
	production database. Proc. 7th World Congr. Genet. Appl.		
	Livest. Prod. 32:557–560. Wiggens G. P. C. P. Ven Tessell, J.C. Philpet, and J. Migztel		
	Wiggans, G.R., C.P. Van Tassell, J.C. Philpot, and I. Misztal.		
	2002. <u>Comparison of dystocia evaluations from sire and sire-</u>		
	maternal grandsire threshold models. Proc. 7th World Congr.		
	Genet. Appl. Livest. Prod. 32:561–564.		
	Wiggans, G.R., I. Misztal, and C.P. Van Tassell. 2003. <u>Calving</u>		
	ease (co)variance components for a sire-maternal grandsire		
	evaluation model. J. Dairy Sci. 86:1845–1848.		
	Van Tassell, C.P., G.R. Wiggans, and I. Misztal. 2003. Implementation of a sire-maternal grandsire model for		
	evaluation of calving ease in the United States. J. Dairy Sci.		
	86:3366–3373.		
	Cole, J.B., R.C. Goodling, Jr., G.R. Wiggans, and P.M.		
	VanRaden. 2005. Genetic evaluation of calving ease for		
	Brown Swiss, Jersey, and Holstein bulls from purebred and		
	crossbred calvings. J. Dairy Sci. 88:1529–1539.		
	Cole, J.B., G.R. Wiggans, and P.M. VanRaden. 2007. Genetic		
	evaluation of stillbirth in United States Holsteins using a sire-		
	maternal grandsire threshold model. J. Dairy Sci. 90:2480–2488.		
	Cole, J.B., G.R. Wiggans, P.M. VanRaden, and R.H. Miller.		
	2007. Stillbirth (co)variance components for a sire-maternal		
	grandsire threshold model and development of a calving		
	ability index for sire selection. J. Dairy Sci. 90:2489-2496.		
	Yao, C., K.A. Weigel, and J.B. Cole. 2014. Short		
	communication: Genetic evaluation of stillbirth in US Brown		
	Swiss and Jersey cattle. J. Dairy Sci. 97:2474–2480.		
Key organisation: name,	Evaluation calculation and distribution:		
address, phone, fax, e-mail,	Council on Dairy Cattle Breeding		
web site	One Town Center		
	4201 Northview Drive,		
	Suite 302		
	Bowie, MD 20716		
	Ph: 240 334 4164		
	e-mail: joao.durr@uscdcb.com		
	web site: <u>https://www.uscdcb.com</u>		
	Evaluation methodology:		
	Animal Improvement Program		
	Animal Genomics and Improvement Laboratory		
	Agricultural Research Service, U.S. Dept. of Agriculture		
	10300 Baltimore Ave.		
	Bldg. 005, Room 306, BARC-West		
	Beltsville, Maryland 20705-2350, USA		
	voice: 301-504-8334; fax: 301-504-8092		
	e-mail: john.cole@usda.gov		
	web site: <u>http://aipl.arsusda.gov</u>		

Form **GE**

Appendix CA

Parameters for national genetic evaluations for calving traits as provided to Interbull

Country (or countries):	United States of America	
Main trait group:	Calving Traits [Service-sire and daughter CE, service sire and daughter SB]	
Breed(s):	HOL (B&W, R&W), BS (CE only)	

Trait	h ²	Genetic variance	Official proof standardisation formula ^a
Direct CE	0.072		
Maternal CE	0.053		
Direct SB	0.030		
Maternal SB	0.065		

a

Expressed as follows:

StandEval = $((Eval - a)/b) \times c + d$, where a = mean of base adjustment, b = SD of base, c = SD of expression (include sign if scale is reversed), and d = base of expression.