

Form GE

DESCRIPTION OF NATIONAL GENETIC EVALUATION SYSTEMS

Country (or countries)	United States of America
Main trait group	Calving [calving ease (CE) – service sire and daughter, stillbirth (SB) – service sire and daughter]
Breed(s)	HOL (B&W, R&W), BSW (CE only); all breeds and first-generation crossbred calves evaluated together in a multibreed sire-MGS model
Trait definition(s) and unit(s) of measurement	<p>CE: Expressed as percentage of births of bull calves that are difficult in primiparous heifers (%DBH), where difficult births are scored as requiring considerable force or being extremely difficult (4 or 5 on a 5-point scale); service-sire CE measures tendency of calves from a particular service sire to be born more or less easily; daughter CE measures ability of a particular cow (daughter) to calve easily</p> <p>SB: Expressed as percentage of births of bulls calves that are stillborn in primiparous heifers (%SB), where stillborn calves are scored as dead at birth or born alive but died within 48 hours of birth (2 or 3 on a 3- point scale); service-sire SB measures tendency of calves from a particular service sire to be stillborn more or less often; daughter SB measures ability of a particular cow (daughter) to produce live calves</p>
Method of measuring and collecting data	<p>In recent years, scores reported almost entirely through Dairy Herd Information Affiliates</p> <p>CE: Scored by owner on a scale of 1 to 5, where 1 = no problems encountered or unobserved birth and 5 = extreme difficulty.</p> <p>SB: Scored by owner on a scale of 1 to 3, where 1 = calf born alive and still alive 48 hours after birth, 2 = calf born dead, and 3 = calf born alive but died within 48 hours after birth; scores of 2 and 3 combined into a single category for evaluation</p>
Time period for data inclusion	Calvings from 1980 and later
Age groups (e.g. parities) included	All parities
Other criteria (data edits) for inclusion of records	<p>No multiple births; sire age of >18 months or <18 years on calving date; MGS age of <18 years on dam birth date; herds with a single calving record reported or with more than 95% of calving records reported as scale 1 (easy) are excluded. Classes 4 and 5 are combined in the evaluation. Missing MGS year of birth is estimated from the daughter’s year of birth.</p> <p>CE: Data from herd-years with abnormal score distributions excluded (about 3% of data) based on a goodness-of-fit statistic for multinomial score distribution</p> <p>SB: Herds with <5 reported calf deaths in database excluded</p>
Criteria for extension of records (if applicable)	None
Sire categories	All sires (AI and NS) evaluated together

Environmental effects, pre-adjustments	None
Method (model) of genetic evaluation	ST threshold sire-MGS model; CE and SB evaluated separately
Environmental effects³ in the genetic evaluation model	Year-season (F), parity-sex (F), sire-MGS birth year group (F), MGS breed (F; CE only) (F), calf heterosis (F), Parity-Sex-YOB (R), HYParity (R)
Adjustment for heterogeneous variance in evaluation model	None
Use of genetic groups and relationships	Inverse of relationship matrix calculated using sire, MGS, and sire-MGS birth year effects within breed
Blending of foreign/Interbull information in evaluation	None
Genetic parameters in the evaluation	See Appendix CA for h^2 estimates CE: Sire variance, 0.022; MGS variance, 0.022; sire-MGS covariance, 0.011 SB: Sire variance, 0.008; MGS variance, 0.018; sire-MGS covariance, 0.004
System validation	Means and SDs for all variables calculated and examined overall as well as for each data submission; means for new bulls, changes for high bulls, largest changes, and key statistics for recent AI bulls checked
Expression of genetic evaluations	CE: %DBH SB: %SB Values from underlying scales reported to Interbull
Definition of genetic reference base	HOL: Direct, bulls born in 2015; maternal, bulls born in 2010 BSW: Direct, bulls born between 2011 and 2016; maternal, bulls born between 2005 and 2010
Next base change	April 2025
Calculation of reliability	Approximated by inverse of diagonal element of coefficient matrix
Criteria for official publication of evaluations	Bull from AI organization that supports calving trait evaluation
Number of evaluations/publications per year	3 (April, August, December)
Use in total merit index⁴	Used in Lifetime net merit dollars (NM\$), Cheese Merit dollars (CM\$), Fluid Merit dollars (FM\$) and Grazing Merit dollars (GM\$) with variable relative weighting. Latest merit information is available at: https://aipl.arsusda.gov/reference/nmcalc-2018.htm
Anticipated changes in the near future	None

<p>Key reference on methodology applied</p>	<p>Van Tassell, C.P., and G.R. Wiggans. 2002. <u>Enhancing quality of dystocia data by integration into a national dairy cattle production database</u>. Proc. 7th World Congr. Genet. Appl. Livest. Prod. 32:557–560.</p> <p>Wiggans, G.R., C.P. Van Tassell, J.C. Philpot, and I. Misztal. 2002. <u>Comparison of dystocia evaluations from sire and sire-maternal grandsire threshold models</u>. Proc. 7th World Congr. Genet. Appl. Livest. Prod. 32:561–564.</p> <p>Wiggans, G.R., I. Misztal, and C.P. Van Tassell. 2003. <u>Calving ease (co)variance components for a sire-maternal grandsire evaluation model</u>. J. Dairy Sci. 86:1845–1848.</p> <p>Van Tassell, C.P., G.R. Wiggans, and I. Misztal. 2003. <u>Implementation of a sire-maternal grandsire model for evaluation of calving ease in the United States</u>. J. Dairy Sci. 86:3366–3373.</p> <p>Cole, J.B., R.C. Goodling, Jr., G.R. Wiggans, and P.M. VanRaden. 2005. <u>Genetic evaluation of calving ease for Brown Swiss, Jersey, and Holstein bulls from purebred and crossbred calvings</u>. J. Dairy Sci. 88:1529–1539.</p> <p>Cole, J.B., G.R. Wiggans, and P.M. VanRaden. 2007. <u>Genetic evaluation of stillbirth in United States Holsteins using a sire-maternal grandsire threshold model</u>. J. Dairy Sci. 90:2480–2488.</p> <p>Cole, J.B., G.R. Wiggans, P.M. VanRaden, and R.H. Miller. 2007. <u>Stillbirth (co)variance components for a sire-maternal grandsire threshold model and development of a calving ability index for sire selection</u>. J. Dairy Sci. 90:2489–2496.</p> <p>Yao, C., K.A. Weigel, and J.B. Cole. 2014. <u>Short communication: Genetic evaluation of stillbirth in US Brown Swiss and Jersey cattle</u>. J. Dairy Sci. 97:2474–2480.</p>
<p>Key organisation: name, address, phone, fax, e-mail, web site</p>	<p>Evaluation calculation and distribution: Council on Dairy Cattle Breeding One Town Center 4201 Northview Drive, Suite 302 Bowie, MD 20716 Ph: 240 334 4164 e-mail: joao.durr@uscddb.com web site: https://www.uscddb.com</p> <p>Evaluation methodology: Animal Improvement Program Animal Genomics and Improvement Laboratory Agricultural Research Service, U.S. Dept. of Agriculture 10300 Baltimore Ave. Bldg. 005, Room 306, BARC-West Beltsville, Maryland 20705-2350, USA voice: 301-504-8334; fax: 301-504-8092 e-mail: john.cole@usda.gov web site: http://aipl.arsusda.gov</p>

Parameters for national genetic evaluations for calving traits as provided to Interbull

Country (or countries):	United States of America
Main trait group:	Calving Traits [Service-sire and daughter CE, service sire and daughter SB]
Breed(s):	HOL (B&W, R&W), BS (CE only)

Trait	h^2	Genetic variance	Official proof standardisation formula ^a
Direct CE	0.072		
Maternal CE	0.053		
Direct SB	0.030		
Maternal SB	0.065		

a

Expressed as follows:

StandEval = ((Eval – a)/b) × c + d, where a = mean of base adjustment, b = SD of base, c = SD of expression (include sign if scale is reversed), and d = base of expression.