

Form GE

DESCRIPTION OF NATIONAL GENETIC EVALUATION SYSTEMS

<b>Country (or countries)</b>	United States of America
<b>Main trait group</b>	Female fertility [heifer conception rate ( <b>HCR</b> ), calving to first insemination ( <b>CFI</b> ), cow conception rate ( <b>CCR</b> ), daughter pregnancy rate ( <b>DPR</b> )]
<b>Breed(s)</b>	AYS (RDC), BSW, GUE, HOL (B&W, R&W), JER, MSH (RDC); all breeds and crossbred cows evaluated together in a multitrait, multibreed AM for HCR, CCR, and DPR
<b>Trait definition(s) and unit(s) of measurement</b>	<p><b>HCR:</b> Maiden heifer’s ability to conceive (trait 1) defined as percentage of inseminated heifers that become pregnant at each service; an HCR of 1 implies that daughters of this bull are 1% more likely to become pregnant as a heifer than daughters of a bull with an evaluation of 0</p> <p><b>CFI:</b> Lactating cow’s ability to start cycling (trait 2) defined as days from calving to first insemination; estimated as a linear function of PTA for CCR and DPR instead of directly from raw data</p> <p><b>CCR:</b> Lactating cow’s ability to conceive (trait 3) defined as percentage of inseminated cows that become pregnant at each service; a CCR of 1 implies that daughters of this bull are 1% more likely to become pregnant during that lactation than daughters of a bull with an evaluation of 0</p> <p><b>DPR:</b> Lactating cow’s interval calving–conception (trait 5) defined as percentage of nonpregnant cows that become pregnant during each 21-day period; DPR of 1 implies that daughters from this bull are 1% more likely to become pregnant during that estrus cycle than a bull with an evaluation of 0; lactation DPR is calculated from DO using the nonlinear formula <math>DPR = 100\{21/[\max(\text{days open}, 71) 50]\}</math>; cows that become pregnant in the first opportunity period have DPR = 100 and those still open in the last opportunity period have DPR = 0</p>
<b>Method of measuring and collecting data</b>	Collected by Dairy Herd Information Affiliates using ICAR-approved methods
<b>Time period for data inclusion</b>	<p><b>HCR:</b> Calvings from 2003 and later</p> <p><b>CCR:</b> First calvings from 2003 and later</p> <p><b>DPR:</b> First calvings from 1960 and later</p>
<b>Age groups (e.g. parities) included</b>	<p><b>HCR:</b> Only breedings for which heifer is at least 1 but &lt;2.2 years old included</p> <p><b>CCR:</b> First 5 parities included; only breedings for which cow is at least 2 years old included</p> <p><b>DPR:</b> First 5 parities included</p>

<p><b>Other criteria (data edits) for inclusion of records</b></p>	<p><b>HCR:</b> All confirmed (failure or success) breedings* up to 7 included; herd-year conception rate must be between 10 and 90%; known sire required; known ET heifers excluded  <b>CCR:</b> All confirmed (failure or success) breedings* up to 7 included; herd-year must report at least 1 breeding for at least 50% of milking cows and conception rate must be between 10 and 90%; known sire required; known ET cows excluded  <b>DPR:</b> Records for pregnancy rate considered complete at 250 DIM; date pregnant set to 50 DIM for cows that become pregnant before 50 DIM; some extremely early pregnancy dates obtained by calculation from date of next calving inaccurate because of short gestation lengths or unreported abortions; lower (50) and upper (250) limits affecting 5 and 14% of records, respectively, applied after adjusting DO for season effects</p> <p>*Service coded as failure if another reproductive event (breeding–AI or NS, heat, or diagnosis of “not pregnant”) subsequently reported or as success if validated with a pregnancy check or resulting calving date</p>
<p><b>Criteria for extension of records (if applicable)</b></p>	<p><b>DPR:</b> DIM ≥ 130 and &lt;250 predicted</p>
<p><b>Sire categories</b></p>	<p>All sires (AI and NS) evaluated together</p>
<p><b>Environmental effects, pre-adjustments</b></p>	<p><b>HCR, CCR:</b> Region-breeding month; service number; mating type  <b>DPR:</b> Season adjustments based on month fresh</p>
<p><b>Method (model) of genetic evaluation</b></p>	<p><b>HCR, CCR, DPR:</b> Multitrait, multibreed BLUP AM; all breeds and crossbreds evaluated</p>
<p><b>Environmental effects<sup>3</sup> in the genetic evaluation model</b></p>	<p><b>HCR:</b> Management group (flexible HYS-registry status) (F), heifer age at first breeding (F), PE (R); released PTA includes regression coefficient multiplied by expected future inbreeding (EFI) and coefficient of heterosis when mated to purebred as a post-processing step  <b>CCR:</b> Management group (flexible HYS, includes registry status for HOL) (F), parity (F), cow age at first breeding (F), PE (R); released PTA includes regression coefficient multiplied by EFI and coefficient of heterosis when mated to purebred as a post-processing step  <b>DPR:</b> Management group (flexible HYS, includes registry status for HOL) (F), parity × age (F), regression on inbreeding (F), PE (R), herd × sire interaction (R); released PTA includes regression coefficient multiplied by EFI and coefficient of heterosis when mated to purebred as a post-processing step</p>

<b>Adjustment for heterogeneous variance in evaluation model</b>	<p><b>HCR:</b> Breeding average given extra weight for each observation, with weight increasing less than linearly because of PE effects using the formula <math>n/[1 + (n1) \text{ repeatability}]</math> for a lactation with <math>n</math> breedings</p> <p><b>CCR:</b> Lactation average given extra weight for each observation, with weight increasing less than linearly because of PE effects using the formula <math>n/[1 + (n1) \text{ repeatability}]</math> for a lactation with <math>n</math> breedings</p> <p><b>DPR:</b> Lactation average given extra weight for each opportunity period, with weight increasing less than linearly because of PE effects using the formula <math>n/[1+(n1) \text{ repeatability}]</math> for a lactation with <math>n</math> opportunity periods; number of opportunity periods is <math>n = \max [1, (\text{days open} - 50)/21]</math></p>
<b>Use of genetic groups and relationships</b>	<b>HCR, CCR, DPR:</b> Unknown parents grouped by birth year, breed, and, for HOL, separately for U.S. and foreign animals; unknown sires and dams of cows grouped separately, but unknown parents of bulls in a combined group; earliest groups combined for HCR and CCR; relationship matrix accounts for effects of inbreeding on Mendelian sampling variance
<b>Blending of foreign/Interbull information in evaluation</b>	Not applicable
<b>Genetic parameters in the evaluation</b>	<p>See Appendix GE for <math>h^2</math> and genetic variance estimates and “calculation of reliability” section below for use in calculation;</p> <p><b>HCR:</b> PE variance, 0.01; RP, 0.12</p> <p><b>CCR:</b> PE variance, 0.016; RP, 0.07</p> <p><b>DPR:</b> PE variance, 0.014, RP, 0.13</p>
<b>System validation</b>	Means and SDs for all variables calculated and examined overall; means for new bulls, changes for high bulls, largest changes, and key statistics for recent AI bulls checked; genetic trends for each breed validated by methods 1 and 3
<b>Expression of genetic evaluations</b>	<p>PTA, % for HCR, CCR, and DPR; PTA, days for CFI</p> <p><b>CFI:</b> <math>PTA = 1.2(PTA \text{ CCR}) - 2.7(PTA \text{ DPR})</math></p> <p><b>HCR, CCR, DPR:</b> All-breed PTAs adjusted to within-breed bases as within-breed <math>PTA = [(\text{all-breed PTA} - \text{breed mean}) + (\text{breed inbreeding regression} \times \text{Expected Future Inbreeding deviation}) + (\text{breed heterosis} \times \text{heterosis})] \times (\text{breed SD}/\text{HOL SD})</math></p>
<b>Definition of genetic reference base</b>	<b>HCR, CCR, DPR:</b> Cows born in 2015 (stepwise, 5 years)
<b>Next base change</b>	April 2025 (when base will be cows born in 2020)
<b>Calculation of reliability</b>	<p><b>HCR, CCR, DPR:</b> Daughter equivalents from progeny, parents, and own records combined using the same methods as for yield traits</p> <p><b>CFI:</b> <math>0.37(\text{CCR reliability}) + 0.63(\text{DPR reliability})</math></p>
<b>Criteria for official publication of evaluations</b>	At least 10 daughters with usable fertility data
<b>Number of evaluations/publications per year</b>	3 (April, August, December)

<p><b>Use in total merit index<sup>4</sup></b></p>	<p>Used in Lifetime net merit dollars (NM\$), Cheese Merit dollars (CM\$), Fluid Merit dollars (FM\$) and Grazing Merit dollars (GM\$) with variable relative weighting. Latest merit information is available at: <a href="https://aipl.arsusda.gov/reference/nmcalc-2018.htm">https://aipl.arsusda.gov/reference/nmcalc-2018.htm</a></p> <p>Also used in Total Performance Index (TPI, HOL) found in <a href="http://www.holsteinusa.com/genetic_evaluations/ss_tpi_formula.html">http://www.holsteinusa.com/genetic_evaluations/ss_tpi_formula.html</a>, Jersey Performance Index (JPI, JER), Progressive Performance Ranking (PPR, BSW), Production Type Index (PTI, RDC), and Production Type Index (PTI, GUE)</p>
<p><b>Anticipated changes in the near future</b></p>	<p>None</p>
<p><b>Key reference on methodology applied</b></p>	<p>VanRaden, P.M., A.H. Sanders, M.E. Tooker, R.H. Miller, and H.D. Norman. 2002. <a href="#">Daughter pregnancy rate evaluation of cow fertility</a>. AIPL Res. Rep. DPR1(11-02).</p> <p>Kuhn, M.T., and P.M. VanRaden. 2004. <a href="#">Use of early lactation days open records for genetic evaluation of cow fertility</a>. J. Dairy Sci. 87:2277–2284.</p> <p>VanRaden, P.M., A.H. Sanders, M.E. Tooker, R.H. Miller, H.D. Norman, M.T. Kuhn, and G.R. Wiggans. 2004. <a href="#">Development of a national genetic evaluation for cow fertility</a>. J. Dairy Sci. 87: 2285–2292.</p> <p>Wiggans, G.R., and R.C. Goodling, Jr. 2005. <a href="#">Accounting for pregnancy diagnosis in predicting days open</a>. J. Dairy Sci. 88: 1873–1877.</p> <p>Kuhn, M.T., J.L. Hutchison, and G.R. Wiggans. 2006. <a href="#">Characterization of Holstein heifer fertility in the United States</a>. J. Dairy Sci. 89:4907–4920.</p> <p>VanRaden, P.M., M.E. Tooker, J.B. Cole, G.R. Wiggans, and J.H. Megonigal, Jr. 2007. <a href="#">Genetic evaluations for mixed-breed populations</a>. J. Dairy Sci. 90:2434–2441.</p> <p>Kuhn, M.T., and J.L. Hutchison. 2008. <a href="#">Prediction of dairy bull fertility from field data: Use of multiple services and identification and utilization of factors affecting bull fertility</a>. J. Dairy Sci. 91:2481–2492.</p> <p>Kuhn, M.T., J.L. Hutchison, and H.D. Norman. 2008. <a href="#">Modeling nuisance variables for prediction of service sire fertility</a>. J. Dairy Sci. 91:2823–2835.</p> <p>VanRaden, P.M., M.E. Tooker, J.R. Wright, C. Sun, and J.L. Hutchison. 2014. <a href="#">Comparison of single-trait to multi-trait national evaluations for yield, health, and fertility traits</a>. J. Dairy Sci. 97:7952-7962.</p>

**Key organisation: name,  
address, phone, fax, e-mail,  
web site**

**Evaluation calculation and distribution:**

Council on Dairy Cattle Breeding  
One Town Center  
4201 Northview Drive,  
Suite 302  
Bowie, MD 20716  
Ph: 240 334 4164  
e-mail: [joao.durr@uscpcb.com](mailto:joao.durr@uscpcb.com)  
web site: <https://www.uscpcb.com>

**Evaluation methodology:**

Animal Improvement Program  
Animal Genomics and Improvement Laboratory  
Agricultural Research Service, U.S. Dept. of Agriculture  
10300 Baltimore Ave.  
Bldg. 005, Room 306, BARC-West  
Beltsville, Maryland 20705-2350, USA  
voice: 301-504-8334; fax: 301-504-8092  
e-mail: [john.cole@usda.gov](mailto:john.cole@usda.gov)  
web site: <http://aipl.arsusda.gov>

